[Vol. 63

CUBA. F. Rugel 235 (GH); Pinar del Rio: Galafre, Britton and Cowell 9826 (GH); Las Guaaimas, O'Donovan 4687 (GH); Santa Catarine, C. Wright, Feb. 1860 (GH); "in Cuba Orientali". C. Wright 1562 (GH). DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: Pontezuela, Jiménez 2565 (US); Constanza, Türckheim 3031 (GH, US); La Estancia, Ekman 12124 (A, US); La Cumbre, Raunkier 1084 (US). PUERTO RICO: Cabo-Rojo, Sintenis 699 (GH); Adjuntas, Sintenis 4033 (US); Añasco, Sintenis 5625 (GH).

- GRAY HERBARIUM OF HARVARD UNIVERSITY. LITERATURE CITED

10

GRAY, ASA. 1876. Nasturtium trachycarpum, in Brandegee, Bull. U. S. Geol. and Geog. Survey 2: 233.

HOWELL, THOMAS. 1897. A Flora of Northwest America. Portland, Oregon.

KEARNEY, THOMAS H. and ROBERT H. PEEBLES. 1951. Arizona Flora. University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles.

MUNZ, PHILIP A. and DAVID D. KECK. 1959. A Flora of California.

University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles.

MURLEY, MARGARET R. 1951. Seeds of the Cruciferae of Northeastern

North America. Amer. Midl. Nat. 46: 1-81.

ROLLINS, R. C. 1960. The American Cruciferae of Sessé and Mociño. RHODORA 62: 11-20.

SCHULZ, O. E. 1933. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Gattung Nasturtium R. Br. II, Nasturtium tanacetifolium Hook. et Arn. und ver-

wandte Arten. Repert. Spec. Nov. 34: 131-136. WALTER, TH. 1788. Flora Caroliniana. London. WATSON, S. 1895. Synoptical Flora of North America, p. 146-149. Cambridge, Mass.

A RE-EVALUATION OF THE GENERIC STATUS OF ASCYRUM AND CROOKEA (GUTTIFERAE)

WILLIAM P. ADAMS AND NORMAN K. B. ROBSON

Recent intensive studies of the floral anatomy and taxonomy of *Hypericum* and the segregates *Ascyrum* and *Crookea* have led to a re-evaluation of the generic status of these groups. A general review and study of the floral anatomy of *Hypericum* and related genera by Robson (1956) indicates that the species belonging to *Ascyrum*, *Crookea* and the sections *Myriandra* and *Brathydium* of *Hypericum* are closely related to each other. Recent studies by Adams (1959) in the taxonomy of these species appear to confirm Robson's idea that they form a very natural group. In the following discussion we will present evidence supporting the

1961] Adams and Robson — Ascyrum and Crookea 11 reduction of the genera *Ascyrum* and *Crookea* to the more inclusive genus *Hypericum*.

Financial support of the senior author's studies in the taxonomy of *Hypericum* was provided by the following sources: the Fernald Fund for Study in Systematic Botany at Harvard University, established by Mr. F. W. Hunnewell of Wellesley, Massachusetts; a National Institute of Health grant-in-aid through the Department of Biology, Harvard University; and a research grant (RG-6305) to Dr. R. K. Godfrey of Florida State University from the Division of General Medical Studies, Public Health Service.

Ascyrum L., Gen. Pl. ed. 5. 342. 1754. Since it was first described by Linnaeus the genus Ascyrum has included those species having a tetramerous calyx and corolla, with two unequal pairs of sepals. The large genus Hypericum has long included those species with pentamerous corolla and calyx. Apparently the first author to challenge this classification was Crantz (1766) who transferred the Ascyrum species to the larger genus. Later authors, however, maintained Ascyrum as a distinct genus. During the past sixty years several taxonomists have questioned this segregation but, with the exception of Keller (1895), no one has attempted to revise the traditional classification. Coulter, in his treatment of the Hypericaceae for the Synoptical Flora of North America (1897), stated: "The propriety of a generic separation from Hypericum is very doubtful." In 1895 Keller treated Ascyrum as a section of Hypericum. Thirty years later, however, Keller (1925) reconsidered the problem and gave Ascyrum generic status but apparently with some misgivings for he remarked: "Die Gattung ist jedenfalls nur künstlich von Hypericum zu trennen." Recently, in a revision of the Ascyrum species by Adams (1957), the question was briefly discussed but it was decided to treat the species as members of Ascyrum until the closely related species of Crookea and Hypericum § Myriandra could be studied. EVIDENCE FOR A MERGER OF ASCYRUM WITH HYPERICUM THE FLOWER. The tetramerous calyx and corolla, characters which have been traditionally used to distinguish Ascyrum as a genus, occur not infrequently in many Hypericum species. In § Myriandra, 4-parted flowers have been observed in H. ellipticum Hook., H. myrtifolium Lam. and H. galioides Lam. and doubtless occur occasionally in other species. According to Milne-Redhead (1953), 4 sepals and

12

[Vol. 63

petals may sometimes be found in the African H. kiboense Oliv. (§ Humifusoideum). Tetramery appears to be the normal condition in H. filicaule Dyer ex Hook. f. a species of Hypericum from the Sikkim Himalaya which Dyer considered to belong to Ascyrum. Robson (1956), however, showed it to be closely related to other Himalayan species in that section. Flowers with pentamerous corollas, a characteristic which has been long used to separate Hypericum from Ascyrum, occur in Ascyrum pumilum Michx. Pentasepalous flowers have not yet been observed in Ascyrum although they occur not infrequently in Crookea (see below). The genus Ascyrum has been further characterized by the presence of two unequal pairs of sepals. This condition is not uncommon in many species of Hypericum in which the sepals are unequal because of their quincuncial development. The first (or exterior) two are almost opposite and more or less equal, the third (with one margin exterior and the other interior) is smaller, and the fourth and fifth sepals (or interior ones) are smaller still and nearly equal in size and shape. Unequal sepals are characteristic of H. macrosepalum Rehder, H. humifusum L., H. androsaemum L., H. filicaule. and several species in Hypericum § Myriandra. Floral characteristics common to Ascyrum and certain species of Hypericum include: persistent sepals and stamens; short to long styles; minute stigmas; lack of sepal articulation; petals which are yellow, convolute in the bud and usually quickly deciduous; numerous afascicular stamens; versatile anthers which dehisce laterally by longitudinal slits; 3 or 2 carpels; parietal placentation; dry, septicidal capsule; numerous small seeds; and an inflorescence which is obviously reduced to a single flower from a simple, 3-parted dichasium.

ANATOMY OF THE FLOWER. Except for tetramery, the anatomy of the flower in Ascyrum is very similar to that found in various species of Hypericum § Myriandra. The inner (smaller) sepals have unilacunar (not trilacunar) traces, but this is a common effect of reduction in the width of insertion of a foliage member (Robson, 1956).

1961] Adams and Robson — Ascyrum and Crookea 13

VEGETATIVE BODY. In growth habit the species of Ascyrum are not unlike various members of Hypericum § Myriandra. The low, bushy, suffruticose form of A. pumilum Michx. and A. multicaule Michx. is paralleled by that of H. buckleyi S. Wats. The erect, shrubby nature of A. stans Michx. and A. tetrapetalum (Lam.) Vail is very similar to that of H. cistifolium Lam. and H. myrtifolium Lam.

Winged stems are present in *Ascyrum* species and occur in practically all sections of the genus *Hypericum*.

In Ascyrum, as well as in § Myriandra of Hypericum, the secretory structures in the leaves, sepals and stems are composed of translucent or pellucid-punctate glands which take the form of dots or vittae (elongate tube-like sacs). The black punctate glands which are typical of such herbaceous species as *H. punctatum* Lam. and *H. perforatum* L. are absent from these groups, however.

The leaves (as well as the sepals) of Ascyrum do not have a basal groove or articulation, a feature lacking in its closest relatives among the species of Hypericum § Myriandra as well. The leaf margin in Ascyrum is narrowed abruptly into a thin hyaline zone which is easily seen in living plants but becomes obscure in dried material. This characteristic is also present in several species of Hypericum § Myriandra. ANATOMY OF THE STEM. A comparative study of the stem anatomy by Vestal (1937) showed that Ascyrum species differ very little, if any, from the woody members of the genus Hypericum. Furthermore, Vestal found "a very constant homogeneity" with "no segregation of anatomical groups possible" among the one hundred or more species of Hypericum which he studied. CHROMOSOMES. The haploid number of nine is present in five of the six species of Ascyrum for which counts have been made (Adams, 1959). The same haploid number is present in at least eighteen members of Hypericum § Myriandra (Hoar and Haertl, 1932; Adams, 1959). It has been found also in several species of sections Hypericum, and Triadenioidea. In size and shape the meiotic chromosomes of Ascyrum and of § Myriandra are remarkably similar. No detailed karyotype analysis has been attempted on these

[Vol. 63

species, however.

14

GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION. Ascyrum and its closest relatives in Hypericum § Myriandra are native only in eastern North America, especially the southeastern portion. Moreover, the other members of the section occur only in the same region.

Crookea Small, Fl. Southeastern U.S. 786, 1335. 1903. The single species comprising the genus Crookea was first described by Torrey and Gray (1838) as Ascyrum microsepalum. That it occupied an anomalous position in this genus was suggested by their remark: "This species differs from all the others of the genus in the somewhat equal and very small sepals, as well as in the long style: it has the habit of Hypericum." Many years later Sereno Watson (1878) transferred it to Hypericum. Coulter (1897) agreed with Watson, noting that this species showed very close affinity with Hypericum in all characteristics except, of course, the tetramerous flowers. In order better to accommodate this rather anomalous species, Small (1903) distinguished the monotypic genus Crookea. Later Keller (1925) treated it again as an Ascyrum. Recent studies (see below) suggest that both Crookea and Ascyrum are very closely related to § Myriandra of Hypericum.

EVIDENCE FOR A MERGER OF CROOKEA WITH HYPERICUM THE FLOWER. The flowers of Crookea are like Ascyrum in being tetramerous, but the nearly equal size and shape of its two pairs of sepals are definitely suggestive of Hypericum.

Sepal and petal number in Crookea are very variable even in flowers on a single plant. Many individual plants may have only 4-parted flowers. Not infrequently, however, plants are found which have typical hypericaceous pentamerous flowers. The same plants may possess flowers which are "intermediate" in sepal and petal number, size, and shape. As regards the total number of perianth parts, flowers with 4 sepals and 5 petals, or 5 sepals and 5 petals have been observed. The sepals and petals of a single flower may differ in size and shape and one or more may be much smaller than the others; not infrequently, two petals or two sepals

1961] Adams and Robson — Ascyrum and Crookea 15

may be partially fused, presenting a doubled appearance. A detailed analysis of the flower variation in *Crookea* will be presented by the senior author in a forthcoming taxonomic study of its single species and its relatives in *Hypericum* § *Myriandra*.

All the other floral and vegetative morphological characteristics of *Crookea* occur as well in various *Hypericum* species. These include its low, bushy growth habit, winged stems, translucent secretory glands, numerous small seeds, absence of leaf and sepal articulation, rounded leaf margins, parietal placentation, and a haploid chromosome number of nine.

SUMMARY

To recognize Crookea, Ascyrum and Hypericum as distinct genera appears to us to require the use of rather arbitrary criteria as a means of delimiting these genera. An analysis of the constellation of morphological characteristics common to the species of Crookea, Ascyrum and certain species of Hypericum which suggest close genetic affinity indicates that they should be included in a single genus of which Crookea and Ascyrum represent extreme evolutionary developments. The reduction of the genera Crookea and Ascyrum to Hypericum

makes necessary the following nomenclatural changes:

Hypericum edisonianum (Small) Adams and Robson, comb. nov. Based on Ascyrum edisonianum Small, Man. Southeastern Fl. 868. 1933 [as Edisonianum].

Hypericum hypericoides (L.) Crantz, Institut. rei herbariae. 2:520. 1766. Ascyrum Hypericoides L., Sp. Pl. 2:788. 1753.

Hypericum microsepalum (T. & G.) Gray ex S. Wats., Biblio. index to N. Am. botany. 1:456. 1878. Ascyrum microsepalum T. & G., Fl. N. Am. 1:157. Crookea microsepala (T. & G.) Small, Fl. Southeastern U. S. 786, 1335. 1903.

Hypericum suffructicosum Adams and Robson, nom. nov. Based on Ascyrum pumilum Michx., Fl. Bor.-Am. 2:77. 1803. Non Hypericum pumilum Sesse & Moc., Fl. Mexic. ed. 2:177. 1894 [as pumillum].

Hypericum stans (Michx.) Adams and Robson, comb. nov. Based on Ascyrum stans Michx., Fl. Bor.-Am. 2:77. 1803.

Hypericum stragulum Adams and Robson, nom. nov. Based on Ascyrum multicaule Michx., Fl. Bor.-Am. 2:77. 1803. Non Hypericum multicaule Lam., Encyc. 4:178. 1797. Ascyrum spathulatum Spach, Hist. Nat. Vég. 5:462. 1836. Non Hypericum spathulatum (Spach) Steud., Nomencl. ed 2, 1:789. 1840, which was based on Myriandra spathulata.

Hypericum tetrapetalum Lam., Encyc. 4:153. 1797. Ascyrum tetrapetalum (Lam.) Vail in Small, Fl. Southeastern U. S. 785. 1903.

[Vol. 63

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The senior author wishes to express his appreciation to Dr. Reed C. Rollins, Dr. R. K. Godfrey, Dr. Carroll E. Wood, Jr. and Mr. George Argus for their interest and helpful criticisms. - DEPARTMENT OF BI-OLOGICAL SCIENCES, FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY, TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA, AND THE ROYAL BOTANIC GARDENS, KEW, ENGLAND.

LITERATURE CITED

ADAMS, W. P. 1957. A revision of the genus Ascyrum (Hypericaceae). Rhodora 59: 73-95.

(Hypericaceae). Unpub. Ph. D. Thesis, Harvard University. COULTER, J. M. 1897. Hypericaceae, in Gray, Synoptical Flora of North America. Vol. 1, part 1, 282-284. CRANTZ, H. J. N. 1766. Institutiones rei herbariae. Vienna. HOAR, C. S. AND E. J. HAERTL. 1932. Meiosis in the genus Hypericum.

Bot. Gaz. 93: 197-204, pl. 1.

16

KELLER, R. 1895. Hypericum, in Engler and Prantl, Die Natürlichen Pflanzenfamilien. Teil 3, Abt. 6. 194-242.

Pflanzenfamilien. 2 Aufl. 21:154-237.

MILNE-REDHEAD, E. 1953. Hypericaceae, in Turrill and Milne-Redhead, Flora of Tropical East Africa. London.

ROBSON, N. K. B. 1956. Studies in the genus Hypericum. Unpub. Ph. D. Thesis, Edinburgh University.

TORREY, J. AND ASA GRAY. 1838. Flora of North America. 1:156-157. VESTAL, P. A. 1937. The significance of comparative anatomy in establishing the relationship of the Hypericaceae to the Guttiferae and their allies. Philippine Jour. Sci. 64:199-256.

WATSON, S. 1878. Bibliographical index to North American botany. 1:456.

CHROMOSOME NUMBERS OF SOME BRAZILIAN LEGUMINOSAE¹

B. L. TURNER AND H. S. IRWIN

The junior author of this paper spent 5 months during 1958-59 in south-central Brazil collecting Cassia material in connection with a doctoral thesis problem. Since he was routinely collecting bud material of various species of this genus and shipping these air mail to the senior author for meiotic examination, he was able to include, as time and opportunity permitted, occasional bud collections of other

¹This study was supported in part from funds provided by The University Research Institute of The University of Texas. We are indebted to Dr. Richard S. Cowan of the Smithsonian Institution for the identification of the specimens.